MINUTES OF 166th (ADJ.) MEETING OF THE TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING BOARD HELD ON 11/10/2019 AT 3:00 P.M. IN CONFERENCE HALL, MINISTER'S BLOCK, SECRETARIAT, PORVORIM - GOA.

The following attended the meeting:

	The following attended the meeting.				
1.	Shri. Chandrakant Kavlekar, Hon. Minister for TCP.		Chairman		
2.	Shri. Filipe Neri Rodrigues, Hon'ble MLA		Member		
3.	Shri Glenn Souza Ticlo, Hon'ble MLA		Member		
4.	Shri Daulat Hawaldar, Secretary (TCP)		Member		
5.	Shri Manoj M. Caculo, President, GCCI		Member		
6.	Shri Gopal A. Parsekar, Director of Panchayat		Member		
7.	Shri Antonio A. Godinho, Principal Chief Engineer, Altinho, Panaji – Goa.	•••	Member		
8.	Shri Sanjay K. Waradkar, Conservator of Forest, Forest Department, Panaji.	•••	Memwber		
9.	Shri Tolentino Furtado, Dy. Director, DPSE Porvorim – Goa.		Member		
10	.Capt. V.P. Vinayabam, S.S.O. (Works) (I&E) HQ GNA		Member		
11	.Shri. MadhavKelkar, Director of Agriculture, Tonca, Caramzalem – Goa.		Member		
12.Dr. Doreen Noronha, Representative of					
	Directorate of Health Services	•••	Member		
13	.Shri. Antonio P. Diniz	•••	Member		

14.Smt. Megha S. Kerkar, Supdt. of Fisheries

.. Member

15. Arch. Rajeev M. Sukhthanker

. Member

16. Shri. Rajesh J. Naik,

Chief Town Planner (Planning) ... Member Secretary

Item No. 1: Confirmation of the minutes of the 166th meeting of Town & Country Planning Board held on 10/07/2019.

At the outset, Chairman Shri Chandrakant Kavalekar, Hon'ble Minister for TCP welcomed the members. A brief introduction of the members was then held.

Being the first meeting of the new Chairman, Member Secretary gave briefing about the proceedings of the 166th meeting held on 10/7/2019 and informed about the discussions and decisions taken on various items during the said meeting.

The Member Secretary then informed that Architect Shri Rajeev Sukhthanker, Member of TCP Board, vide letter dtd. 21/8/2019 has submitted certain corrections/suggestions about the minutes of 166th meeting of TCP Board held on 10/7/2019 as regards to item No. 8, 9, 10 & 13 and further informed that vide his letter dtd. 28/8/2019, Shri Sukhthanker has withdrawn his comments as regards to item No. 10 and 13 stating that he has obtained required clarification regarding these matters but has maintained hissay regarding corrections/suggestions with regard to item No. 8 &9.

Corrections/suggestions as mentioned in the letter of Shri Rajeev Sukhthanker were read out to the members, which are as under:

i) With regard to item No. 8, it is stated that "There are many items being listed out for correcting typographical or cartographical errors in ODP. Also the ODP with corrections are not displayed in the meeting. At page No. 13 of minutes first and second paragraph whether the conditions are compiled accordingly."

ii) With regard to item No. 9, it is stated that "After correction of ODP it is to be displayed in the Board meeting which is not done."

Considering the issues raised, the members opined that it would be advisable to have discussion on these item numbers in the next meeting of the TCP Board in order to get the clarity to the members. The same was agreed and the Chairman accordingly directed the Member Secretary, SGPDA who was present for the meeting to have a detail presentation onthese agenda items during the next meeting of the Board. The decision over the item Nos. 8 & 9 of the meeting held on 166th meeting held on 10/7/2019 were therefore not confirmed.

Member Secretary, TCP Board also informed that the Forest Department vide letter No. 2-48(l)-2019-20-Vol.VII-FD/3098 dtd. 30/09/2019, has brought to the notice that few properties considered provisionally for changeof zone are listed in the prospective list of Private Forest identified. It was therefore decided to have a discussion on this matter while discussing item No. 7.

The Chairman then requested the Member Secretary, TCP Board to brief about item No.13 of the agenda item of 166th meeting held on 10/7/2019 with the details of the cases as mentioned in Annexure-B pertaining to the cases considered for final approval for change of zone. The members suggested that since it is decided to review these cases under item No. 13, all other items besides item No. 8, 9,13 and item No. 10 with the corrections, as suggested shall be considered for confirmation and the same was agreed upon. It was accordingly decided to have detail deliberation on item No. 13 of 166th held 10/7/2019.Besides this, there meeting as were comments/suggestions from any of the members on the agenda items, the decisions as taken on the same were confirmed by the Board.

Item No. 2: Appeal under Section 52 of the Town & Country Planning Act, 1974 filed by Shri Suresh Kumar Borana against the Greater Panaji Planning and Development Authority. (File No. TP/B/APL/183/2019).

The petitioner was representated by Advocate Shri Padiyar, whereas Member Secretary Shri R.K. Pandita defended the matter on behalf of GPPDA. Member Secretary also informed that the notice was also sent to Mr. Suresh Sharma being the intervener in the matter, however the said intervener was not present for the hearing.

The Member Secretary, TCP Board informed that the appeal is against the Final Notice under ref. no. GPPDA/ILL/CONST/06/TAL/2019/1906 dated 07/03/2019 issued by Respondent in respect of Duplex Bungalow/Villa No. R-6 in Plot No. 17 & 18, S. No. 239, Taleigao Village, Tiswadi Taluka, directing the appellant to demolish/remove the alleged illegal development as mentioned in the Show Cause Notice dated 25/06/2018 and to restore the premises to its original use, i.e. Residential use instead of Hotel (Commercial use).

The Advocate of the petitioner explained the following facts:

- a) The appellant is the owner of Villa No. R-6 in Plot No. 17 & 18, S. No. 239, Taleigao village Tiswadi Taluka, having purchased the same under Sale Deed dated 04/01/2016.
- b) Prior to above purchase, the appellant had taken the said premises on lease and license basis under leave and license agreement dated 20/12/2014 and had obtained various permissions for running the same as guest house. The permissions include provisional NOC from V.P. Taleigao under ref. no. VP/TLG/14-15/2196 dated 28/01/2015, necessary license/ NOC from Director of Health Services dated 11/03/2015, Registration Certificate from Dept. of Tourism dated 16/03/2015, Fire NOC dated 11/03/2015, Establishment License (Trade) dated 01/04/2015. On account of the above permissions, the appellant purchased the said premises for business of guest house on 04/01/2016 and from time to time has renewed the licenses required for running the business. The said premises had occupancy certificate with ref. no. VP/TLG/OC/10-11/1465, dated 29/06/2010.

- c) On 01/01/2018, the Technical Officer of the Respondent inspected the premises. Appellant states that alterations were there at the time of purchase of the said premises. The Respondent, vide Show Cause Notice dated 25/06/2018, directed the appellant to show cause as to why action under section 52 of the TCP Act should not be initiated against the appellant in respect of the alleged illegal development as mentioned in the Show Cause Notice. Vide application dated 12/07/2018, the appellant requested for material, documents or basis of information while issuing aforesaid Show Cause Notice as well as personal hearing, however the appellant did not get the same. Then vide letter dated 11/02/2019, the appellant filed his reply with the respondentand prayed for personal hearing. However, the Respondent, vide letter dated 07/03/2019, issued Final Notice directing the appellant to demolish/remove the alleged illegal development and restore the premises to its original use. Aggrieved by this, the appellant has filed the present appeal on the following grounds:
 - i) The impugned order is in violation of the principles of natural justice.
 - ii) The impugned order is bad in law as the respondent failed to supply documents as requested.
 - iii) The respondent erred in not considering the fact that the Appellant had asked for personal hearing in the matter.

It was the contention of the petitioner that PDA while deciding on the matterdid not follow the principles of natural justice and were not given any hearing, although requested for.

The request of the petitioner was therefore to remand the matter back to the GPPDA so that opportunity could be offered to them to present their case properly.

The members deliberated and were of the opinion that in any case the PDA shall decide the matter on merits of the case and hence it would be fair that PDA grants another opportunity to the petitioner of being heard.

The Board therefore decided to remand the matter back to GPPDA for deciding on the matter after hearing all the concerned parties.

Item No. 3: Appeal under Section 52(2)(b) of the Town & Country Planning Act, 1974 filed by M/s Goa Resorts (Hotel Baia do Sol) against North Goa Planning and Development Authority (File No. TP/B/APL/177/2019).

The Member Secretary, NGPDA informed that the matter is regarding property bearing Sy. No. 281/1 Calangute village, Bardez Taluka, admeasuring an area about 2000 sq. mtrs. As per the documents/appeal memo submitted, the said property originally belonged to partnership firm of Motel Lomir and Mr. Lucio Miranda wherein structures were constructed in the said property prior to 1974 and started Hotel business therein. Subsequently, the Village Panchayat issued construction licence dtd. 16/08/1976.

Later on in 1978 Mr. DamodarNarcinvaNaik was admitted as a partner of the said firm. Then the name of the said establishment was changed to Hotel "Baia do Sol". "The house tax is being paid from 1976 onwards. The appellant has mentioned that house tax and other taxes are being paid from 01/04/1978. Vide letter dtd. 30/07/1982, the Village Panchayat had issued NOC for the structures in this property under reference consisting of 8 single rooms and 14 double rooms. Further, in the year 2008, the Village Panchayat issued a Show Cause Notice dtd. 04/07/2008 calling upon the appellant to Show Cause as to why these structures in the said property should not be demolished and same was followed by personal hearing on 27/01/2009. A demolition Order dtd. 27/02/2009 was thereafter issued, against which, in the matter of Appeal No. 97 of 2009 before Dy. Director of Panchayat, vide order dtd. 26/06/2009, the Dy. Director of Panchayat directed the Village Panchayat to withdraw the Demolition Order dtd. 27/02/2009. The Panchayat thereafter issued another notice dtd. 10/03/2010 and 09/07/2010, followed by notice dtd 07/10/2011 and 21/07/2012 for demolition. Vide Order dtd 17/10/2012, the Director of Panchayat quashed and set aside the said demolition order.

Thereafter the appellant received notice dtd. 08/09/2016 from North Goa PDA, which was replied on 21/09/2016. The appellant was then given personal hearing on 01/06/2018, in which the appellant produced all the required documents. The Show Cause Notice dtd. 08/09/2016, mentions about RPG-2001 and RPG 2021, which is objected by the appellant on the ground that the

structures referred are existing since 1976. Now the appellant is in receipt of Final Notice dtd. 28/12/2018 against which the present appeal is filed.

The Member Secretary informed that the appeal was heard in the 165th (Adj.) meeting of the TCP Board held on 10/06/2019 during which, the appellant remained absent and the Board felt it appropriate to hear the Appellant too, so as to arrive to a particular decision and accordingly notices were issued to both the parties to remain present for the meeting of the Board to give their say in the matter, however it was observed that only the respondent was present whereas nobody appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

The Board therefore decided to give last and final opportunity to the petitioner to give their say in the matter during the next meeting of the Board. The Member Secretary was accordingly directed to issue notices to both the parties to remain present for the next meeting of the Board.

Additional Items:

Item No. 1: Representation by Dr. Suresh Shetye against Goa University (File No. 36/1/TCP/314/2019).

The Member Secretary, TCP Board informed that the matter is regarding oral judgment dated 30/07/2019 given by Hon'ble High Court of Bombay at Goa in Writ Petition No. 317 of 2019 filed by Goa University against Town & Country Planning Board and 4 others. Hon'ble High Court has set side Order dated 15/10/2018 and 12/11/2018 and directed the Board to hear the petitioner, i.e. Goa University and Res. No. 3 of the Writ Petition No. 317/2019, i.e. Dr. Suresh Shetye and dispose of the representation made by Res. No. 3 within 3 months from the date of the petitioner university filing response to the representation made by Res. No. 3.

The matter is regarding closure of access to the land bearing Sy. No. 193, 197 and 198 of Village Calapur by Goa University. The Member Secretary, TCP Board informed that in compliance with the directions of the Hon'ble High Court notices were issued to concerned parties to remain present for the Board meeting.

During the hearing, a representative of the Goa University informed that the Senior Advocate appearing on their behalf could not remain present due to prior engagement of his and requested that the matter be taken up for the next Board meeting. The same was agreed upon and was decided to take up the matter in the next meeting of the Board.

The Member Secretary, TCP Board was accordingly directed to issue notices to the concerned parties to remain present for the next meeting of the Board to give their say in the matter.

Item No. 2: Appeal filed by M/s Swapna Developers against South Goa Planning & Development Authority (File No. TP/B/APL/163/2017-19).

The Member Secretary, TCP Board informed thatthe matter was taken up earlier in 163rd meeting of the Board held on 16/08/2018 under item No. 5, during which, neither the Appellant nor his lawyer was present.

Further, the Member Secretary, TCP Board stated that the Appeal was earlier placed before 160th meeting of TCP Board held on 23/11/2017, in which the Board after deliberation had passed the following order:

"The TCP Board dismissed the appeal since matter of illegality was before Hon'ble High Court of Bombay, Panaji Branch and Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No. 14/3027 has already passed an order directing South Goa PDA to take action as per affidavit dated 07/12/2017, and whereas the South Goa PDA has already taken the necessary action after verifying that the development has been carried out without obtaining its prior approval, which is in violation of the rules and regulations".

The Member Secretary, South Goa PDA submitted that Hon'ble High Court of Bombay at Goa in Writ Petition No. 201/2018 has passed the following order:

- (i) The petition is partly allowed.
- (ii) The impugned order dated 25/01/2018 passed by the Board is hereby set aside.
- (iii) TP/B/APL/163/17(P)/175 is restored back to the file of the Town and Country Planning Board for deciding it afresh in accordance with law.

- (iv) Needless to maintain that the Board shall also decide the application for intervention after hearing the intervenor, in accordance with law.
- (v) The Board shall decide the matter as expeditiously as possible and within a period of six months from the receipt hereof.
- (vi) The petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms, with no order as to costs.

The Member Secretary, TCP Board further informed that the further decision taken by the TCP Board in pursuance of the High Court Order was contested by the petitioner again in the Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition No. 439 of 2019 and theHon'ble High Court vide oral judgement dtd. 29/7/2019 has set aside Board's Order dtd. 11/01/2019 and SGPDA's communication dtd. 9/5/2019 by restoring petitioner's appeal before the TCP Board. The Hon'ble High Court while disposing the matter has directed that the appeal be disposed off in accordance with law on its merit after affording the petitioner opportunity of being heard.

The Member Secretary, TCP Board informed that in pursuance of such directions, notices were issued to the concerned parties to remain present for the Board meeting and both the parties accordingly remained present for the same.

The Member Secretary, SGPDA Shri Ashok Kumar however informed the Board that the Advocate Mr. Menino Pereira appearing in the matter could not remain present on health grounds and requested that the matter be taken up again in the next meeting.

The request was considered by the Board and accordingly Member Secretary was directed to issuenotices to the concerned parties to remain present for the hearing in the matter, which is to be taken up in the forthcoming Board meeting.

Item No. 3: Budget of North Goa Planning and Development Authority.

The Member Secretary, North Goa PDA presented the budget of North Goa PDA for the year 2019-2020 and explained the salient features of the same as annexed as per the Annexure-E.Member Shri Manoj Caculo suggested that

copies of the Budget need to be circulated to the members for their perusal. The Chairman agreed with the suggestion made by the member and accordingly directed the Member Secretary to circulate the copies of the Budget as presented by NGPDA, to the member at the time of forwarding the minutes of the Board.

A detailed discussion on the Budget was thereafter held and the Board approved the Budget.

Item No. 4: Constitution of North Goa Planning and Development Authority, Greater Panaji Planning and Development Authority, Mormugao Planning and Development Authority & South Goa Planning and Development Authority.

The Member Secretary, TCP Board informed that the following Planning and Development Authorities are reconstituted under the provisions of Section 20(1) & (3) of the TCP Act. The details of notifications are as below:

- 1. North Goa Planning and Development Authority has been reconstituted vide notification No. 28/11/TCP/2017-19/2340 dtd. 17/09/2019 published in Official Gazette Series II No. 25 dtd. 19/09/2019.
- 2. Greater Panaji Planning and Development Authority has been reconstituted vide notification No. 28/11/TCP/2017-19/2341 dtd. 17/09/2019 published in Official Gazette Series II No. 25 dtd. 19/09/2019.
- 3. South Goa Planning and Development Authority has been reconstituted vide notification No. 28/11/TCP/2019/2574 dtd. 01/10/2019 published in Official Gazette Series II No. 27 dtd. 03/10/2019.
- 4. Mormugao Planning and Development Authority has been reconstituted vide notification No. 4-5-2-84-UDD(Pt)/12/2339 dtd. 17/09/2019 published in Official Gazette Series II, No. 25 dtd. 19/09/2019.

The Board considered the same u/s 20(1) of TCP Act 1974 and accorded its post facto approval for reconstitution of PDAs as above. Accordingly, Section 20(1) of the TCP Act stands complied as regards to reconstitution of the PDAs as above.

Item No. 5: Draft Outline Development Plan – 2028 for Arpora-Nagoa-Parra Planning Area under Section 29 of TCP Act.

TheMember Secretary, North Goa PDA informed that vide letter No. NGPDA/ODP/ARP-NAG-PAR/3644/19 dtd. 26/2/2019, the North Goa PDA had submitted Draft Outline Development Plan – 2028 for Arpora-Nagoa-Parra Planning Area to TCP Board. The same is now placed before the Board for consideration under Section 29 of TCP Act. The Member Secretary, North Goa PDA mentioned about the highlights of the draft ODP however, the Board felt that a detailed presentation need to be given by the NGPDA as regards to the procedure adopted while preparing the draft ODP. The Chairman also suggested that the Member Secretary for the purpose of information of the members, may give a detail presentation in the forthcoming meeting as regards to various land uses proposed in the draft ODP.

Accordingly, it was decided that the draft ODP of Arpora-Nagoa-Parra Planning Area shall be presented once again in the forthcoming meeting of the Board for the purpose of detail explanation of the same.

Item No. 6: Re-constitution of North Goa PDA and Greater Panaji PDA with regard to Planning Areas.

The Member Secretary, TCP Board informed thatthe Planning Areas under the jurisdiction of North Goa PDA and Greater Panaji PDA are contiguous as regards to Panaji Planning Area and Taleigao Planning Area. Panaji Planning Area is however under the jurisdiction of North Goa PDA and whereas Taleigao Planning Area is under the jurisdiction of Greater Panaji PDA.

The Board considered the matter under Section 20(1) of the TCP Act, 1974 and recommended that the reconstitution of these PDAs is necessary by way of altering the planning areas within the jurisdiction of PDAs specifically as regards to Panaji Planning Area, in public interest. Hence Board recommended the reconstitution of North Goa PDA and Greater Panaji PDA with respect to its jurisdiction by bringing the Panaji Planning Area under the jurisdiction of Greater Panaji PDA. Hence, the Board recommended u/s 20(1) of TCP Act, 1974 that the jurisdiction of both the PDAs shall be as follows:

- 1) North Goa PDA to be reconstituted in respect of
 - i) Mapusa Planning Area declared as such vide Government Notification No. 4-5-2-84-UDD/Pt/TCP/2012-15/4928 dtd. 09/12/2015, published in the Official Gazette, Series I No. 38 dtd. 17/12/2015.
 - ii) Calangute-Candolim Planning Area declared as such vide Government Notification No. 4-5-2-84-UDD/Pt/TCP/15/60 dtd. 08/01/2015, published in the Official Gazette, Series II No. 42 dtd. 15/01/2015, read with the Government Notification No. 4-5-2-84-UDD/Pt/TCP/2016/4297 dtd. 18/10/2016published in the Official Gazette, Series II No. 29 dtd. 20/10/2016 and
 - iii) Arpora-Nagoa-Parra Planning Area declared as such vide Government Notification No. 28/10/TCP/2018/163 dtd. 24/01/2018 published in the Official Gazette, Series III No. 43 dtd. 25/01/2018.
- 2) Greater Panaji PDA to be reconstituted in respect of
- i) Panaji Planning Area declared as such vide Government Notification No. 28/10/TCP/2018/203 dtd. 31/01/2018, published in the Official Gazette Series I No. 44 dtd. 01/02/2018.
- ii) Taleigao Planning Area declared as such vide Government Notification No. 28/10/TCP/2018/203 dtd. 31/01/2018, published in the Official Gazette Series I No. 44 dtd. 01/02/2018 and
- iii) Bambolim Planning Area and Kadamba Planning Area declared as such vide Government Notification No. 28/10/TCP/2018/163 dtd. 24/01/2018, published in the Official Gazette Series III No. 43 dtd. 25/01/2018 read with the Government Notification No. 28/10/TCP/2017-18/1824 dtd. 03/09/2018, published in the Official Gazette, Series I No. 23 dtd. 06/09/2018.

Also, in view of reconstitution of PDAs as above, the Board suggested the consequential changes in the members of respective PDAs, as the reconstitution affects the jurisdiction of the respective PDAs.

Item No.7: Proposals received under Section 16B of TCP Act (for provisional approval as placed in the 166th meeting held on 10/7/2019).

With implementation of provisions of Section 16B of TCP Act, the Town & Country Planning Dept., has started receiving applications u/s 16B for change of zones, rectifications in RPG-2021. The proposals as received under Section 16B for provisional approvalas per Annexure 'A' were discussed in the 166th meeting of TCP Board and the same were placed again before the TCP Board once again for revival and necessary consideration.

Member Secretary also informed that the Forest Department vide their letter No. 2-48(l)-2019-20-Vol.VII-FD/3098 dtd. 30/09/2019 has informed that the following survey holdings of the properties, which are reflected under Annexure-A of the cases considered for provisional approval in 166th meeting held on 10/7/2019, are listed in the prospective list of private forest identified by Forest Department.

LIST OF PROPERTIES WITH REMARKS OF FOREST DEPT.

Sr. No.	Name of the applicant	Sy.No.	Village & Taluka	Area	Comments & Remarks
1.	Mohan Anant Naik	59/1-D-I, I-D-2	Fatorpa/ Quepem	2325	Sy.No. 59 in Fatorpa village has been listed in the prospective private forest identified by South Goa Forest Division Committee. Thus, FCA, 1980 shall be applicable till final survey and demarcation of the Sy.No. 59 in Fatorpa village in Quepem Taluka
2.	Gaurav G. Prabhu Gaonkar	41/1-B	Poiguinim/ Canacona	559	Sy.No. 41/1-B in Poiguinim village has been listed in the prospective private forest identified by Sawant & Karapurkar Committee. Thus, FCA, 1980 shall be applicable till final survey and demarcation of the Sy.No. 41 in Poiguinim village in Canacona Taluka
3.	Inacinho Fernandes	331/1-B	Loutolim/ Salcete	6680	Sy.No. 331 in Loutolim village has been listed in the prospective private forest identified by South Goa Forest Division Committee. Thus, FCA, 1980 shall be applicable till final survey and demarcation of the Sy.No. 331 in Loutolim village in Salcete Taluka

The Board deliberated on the same and decided that since properties considered for provisional approval for change of zone are now listed in the prospective list of private forest identified, the same need not be considered for change of zone. The Chairman agreed with the views expressed by the

members and accordingly it was unanimously decided not to consider cases at Sr.No. 28, 32 & 39 of Annexure-A of the meeting held on 10/7/2019 for provisional approval.

The decision taken on rest of the cases as listed at Annexure-A were then confirmed.

Item No.8: Proposals received under Section 16B of TCP Act (for final approval as placed in the 166th meeting held on 10/7/2019)

The applications received under Section 16B decided for final approval in the earlier meeting dtd. 10/7/2019 as per Annexure 'B' were once again discussed and the same were placed again before the Board for review and necessary consideration.

The Board deliberated on the same in detail and the decisions taken are recorded in the Annexure-B.

Member Secretary informed that during the scrutiny of applications, it is noticed that sometimes the applicants do not mentioned correctly the existing zone of the property as per RPG-2021 as required to be mentioned at Sr.No. 4 of the application form. The Board took note of the same and instructed the Member Secretary to mention the correct zoning of the property as per RPG-2021 at the time of issue of notifications for provisional and final approvals for change of zone u/s 16B.

Also, it was brought to the notice of the members that the applicantsdo not mentioned correctly the area of land sought for change of zone as required to be mentioned at Sr.No. 10 of the application form, although necessary details are subsequently given in the other enclosures. The Board instructed the member Secretary to reflect the correct areas during the time of provisional and final approvals for change of zone u/s 16B by mentioning therein the total area of the property and the actual area sought for change of zone.

Item No. 9: Applications received u/s 16B of TCP Act, 1974 (for provisional approval - new)

The applications received under Section 16B of TCP Act to be decided for provisional approval were deliberated and the decisions taken are recorded in Annexure-C.

Item No. 10: Proposals received under Section 16B of TCP Act (for final approval – new)

The Chairman brought to the notice of the members that only theapplications which were considered in the earlier meeting dtd. 10/7/2019 have been placed in this meeting for review of the same. However only one new application is being placed for consideration of final approval for change of zone and asked the Member Secretary to brief the members on the same.

Member Secretary informed that the Matruchaya Trust had applied for change of zone of the property bearing Sy.No. 32/7 & 31/13 of Talaulim village, Ponda Taluka to Institutional zone and the same was considered for provisional approval by the Board for an area of 4675.00 m2 and was accordingly notified in Official Gazette dtd. 30/5/2019 inviting comments, if any from general public.

Member Secretary further informed that the inspection of the properties was also carried out by the officials of Agriculture and Forest Department, and their reports are awaited. He further informed that no other objection/suggestion is received for the said proposal.

Considering the use as proposed by Matruchaya Trust and the activities undertaken by the said trust, the Board recommended for final approval for change of zone of the property under reference for an area of 4675.00 m2, as institutional zone subject to condition that opinion of Agriculture Dept./Forest Dept. shall be obtained prior to final notification of the change of zone. The said decision was taken to facilitate further procedure expeditiously.

The details of the same are as per Annexure-D.

Item No. 11: Any other item with permission of the Chair.

No other issues were discussed under this item.

The meeting ended with vote of thanks to the Chair.