

**MINUTES OF 175<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF THE TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING BOARD HELD ON 30/06/2021 AT 3.30 P.M. IN CONFERENCE HALL, SECRETARIAT BLOCK, PORVORIM - GOA.**

The following attended the meeting:

- |  |     |                  |
|--|-----|------------------|
| 1. Shri. Chandrakant Kavlekar,<br>Hon. Minister for TCP  | ... | Chairman         |
| 2. Shri Filipe Nery Rodrigues,<br>Hon'ble Minister for WRD & Fisheries                                   | ... | Member           |
| 3. Shri Glenn Souza Ticlo,<br>Chairman, GIDC   | ... | Member           |
| 4. Shri Puneet Goel,<br>Principal Secretary (TCP)  | ... | Member           |
| 5. Shri S. R. Prabhu,<br>Asst. Conservator of Forest<br>(Representative)                                 | ... | Member           |
| 6. Dr. Surekha Parulekar,<br>CMO (DHS)<br>(Representative)   | ... | Member           |
| 7. Shri ShashikantKamat,<br>Chief Engineer,<br>PWD (Representative)                                      | ... | Member           |
| 8. Shri Chandrakant Velip,<br>Dy. Director of Fisheries,<br>Department of Fisheries,<br>(Representative) | ... | Member           |
| 9. Shri Dilip Paranjape,<br>Asst. Director of Agriculture,<br>(Representative)                           | ... | Member           |
| 10. Shri Dhiraj R. Vagle,<br>Asst. Director of Tourism,<br>(Representative)                              | ... | Member           |
| 11. Shri. Antonio P. Diniz   | ... | Member           |
| 12. Arch. Rajeev M. Sukhthanker  | ... | Member           |
| 13. Shri. Rajesh J. Naik,<br>Chief Town Planner (Planning)   | ... | Member Secretary |

**Item No. 1: Confirmation of the Minutes of the 174<sup>th</sup>(Adj.) meeting of Town & Country Planning Board held on 28/04/2021.**

Member Secretary informed that the minutes of 174<sup>th</sup> (Adj.) meeting of TCP Board held on 28/04/2021 were circulated to all the Members and it was further informed that no comments on the same are received from any of the Member. The Board therefore confirmed the Minutes.

**Item No. 2: Proposal for construction of warehouse for storage of EVMs & VVPATs machines in the property bearing Chalta No. 17 of P.T. Sheet No. 92, Chalta No. 2(8) of P.T. Sheet No. 104 of Panaji.**

Member Secretary informed that a Note dated 19/05/2021 of Greater Panaji Planning and Development Authority was received regarding a proposal of the Chief Election Commissioner, Panaji for construction of Warehouse for storage of EVMs & VVPATs machines in the property bearing Chalta No. 17 of P.T. Sheet No. 92, Chalta No. 2(8) of P.T. Sheet No. 104 of Panaji.

It was informed that the property under reference is earmarked as Institutional “P” zone, Public (Institutional Government) in the ODP-2011 of Panaji Planning area and as per regulation prescribed under GLDBCR-2010, maximum permissible coverage is 33% and the FAR permissible is 100 and whereas in the present case, coverage proposed is 53% which is beyond the permissible limit.

Regarding the maximum permissible FAR, Section 6.A (4) of Goa Land Development and Building Construction Regulations, 2010 was brought to the notice of the Members, which states as under:

***“In Public/Semi Public/Institutional Use, Zone P building shall be allowed with FAR of 100 on all plots fronting roads having width less than 8.00 meters, on roads having width of more than 8 meter the FAR shall be 125. The maximum height of building in Zone P shall be 16 meters.***

***Note: In special cases with the approval of T&CP Board and approval of the Government the maximum FAR of 150 could be permitted on case to case basis”.***

As per the letter dated 23/04/2021 of Additional Chief Electoral Officer, it is requested only to permit coverage of 53%.

As per the letter of GPPDA, the Authority in its 16<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 18/05/2021 has decided to forward the proposal to the Government through Chief Town Planner (Admn.) for seeking relaxation of coverage to 53% and FAR to 150.

It was informed by the Member Secretary that the letter dtd. 23/4/2021 of Chief Electoral Officer addressed to GPPDA states that the project is for construction of warehouse for storage of EVMs and VVPATs machines which is to be constructed as per the guidelines of Election Commission of India and has therefore submitted the plans as per the requirement of the project.

The proposal was deliberated viz-a-viz the provisions of the Goa Land Development and Building Construction Regulations, 2010 and it was noted that as per Section 6A.4 note, maximum FAR of 150 could be permitted on case to case basis with the concurrence of the Town and Country Planning Board and approval of Government in special cases only.

Smt. Aisha A. Vaingankar, Joint Chief Electoral Officer, who was an invitee for the meeting explained that it is the request of Chief Electoral Officer for relaxation of 53% to accommodate the requirement of their Department which are to be provided as per the guidelines of Election Commission of India. The request was also made accordingly to allow the higher FAR of 150.

The proposal was deliberated at length with regards to the existing provisions under the regulations as regards to maximum permissible coverage, FAR, etc. and it was decided to consider the relaxation of maximum permissible coverage to 50% only and not 53% as requested for. Also, as regards to FAR, it was decided to permit the same as 150.

Smt. Aisha A. Vaingankar was accordingly directed to instruct the project consultant to revise their proposal by restricting maximum coverage to 50%.

The request as made by the Chief Electoral Officer therefore stands considered as above.

**Item No. 3: Representation received regarding Agro based Eco Tourism Policy.**

It was informed by the Member Secretary that Regional Plan for Goa 2021 promotes two eco-tourism policies, one for coastal and hinterland eco-tourism for less developed Talukas for sites which are already marked in Regional Plan for Goa 2021 and other being a general agro based eco-tourism to supplement agricultural income through an alternate revenue source.

It was further informed that Regional Plan for Goa – 2021 recommends agro based eco-tourism to be encouraged in cultivable lands (excluding wet paddy fields and khazan lands) and Orchard/Kulagars by following guidelines for farm houses and eco friendly structures.

The Town and Country Planning Board was briefed that in its 163<sup>rd</sup> (Adj.) meeting held on 15/10/2018 had earlier framed detailed guidelines and had recommended a detailed policy for considering agro based eco-tourism proposals which was subsequently approved by the Government and accordingly Order in this regard bearing No. 36/1/TCP/333/2019/390 dtd. 06/02/2019 was issued.

The guidelines of the policy was then briefed to Members, as per which it was informed that for consideration of agro based eco-tourism project, minimum area of the plot is required to be 10,000 m<sup>2</sup> and other parameters as under:

- |                                 |    |  |
|---------------------------------|----|--|
| 1) Minimum area of property     | -- | 10,000 m <sup>2</sup>  |
| 2) Minimum width of access road | -- | 6.00 mtrs.<br>However for built up area not exceeding 1000 m <sup>2</sup> .<br>3.00mtrs. wide motorable road may also be considered. |
| 3) Maximum permissible Coverage | -- | 5%   |
| 4) Maximum permissible FAR      | -- | 5 up to 10,000 m <sup>2</sup> of land area and an incremental 200 sq. mtrs. For every additional 10,000 sq. mtrs. Of land area.      |
| 5) Setbacks                     | -- | Front 30 mtrs. or $\frac{3}{4}$ the depth of property wherever is less.<br>Side / rear-minimum 10.00 mtrs.                           |
| 6) Height of structure          | -- | 5.50 mtrs. upto ridge and shall only be a ground floor structure.  |

The Board was also informed about the other restrictions applicable to consider the agro-based eco-tourism which are as under:

1. *Agro based eco-tourism projects are not permitted in Eco- I zones which are Forest (Protected, reserved, national park, wild life), mangrove forest, Private forest, water bodies/ nallas, Ponds, low lying Paddy fields, Khazan land, salt pan, fish farm/ mud flats.*
2. *Eco-tourism related structure shall have traditional/Goan architecture and shall be constructed with locally available building materials. Structure shall be load bearing structure and no RCC shall be used. Roof style shall be sloping roof with mangalore tiles cladding or thatched roof.*
3. *Amalgamation of additional areas contiguous to the property is permissible. However once the approval is obtained under this scheme, subdivisions of the project land and fragmentation of the project land shall not be permissible.*
4. *The project proponent shall have valid Krishi card issued by Directorate of Agriculture and shall undertake to bring the entire property under cultivation and plantations.*
5. *Project should use scientific collection and disposal of solid waste.*
6. *Project should give emphasis on water conservation measures like rain water harvesting, recycling and use of grey water etc.*
7. *Projects having area more than 2000 sq. mtrs.of built up shall adopt green building initiatives and obtain at least Gold category rating from recognized agencies like IGBC or TERI.*

It was also brought to the notice of the Members that project falling under these guidelines is required to be evaluated by the Committee constituted to implement Regional Plan for Goa 2021, and all such proposals have to be approved by the Government.

Member Secretary informed that the proposal is now received from Small and Medium Hoteliers Association of Goa, Alto-Pilerne, Porvorim-Goa, for reviewing the policy and to consider minimum plot area of 3000 m<sup>2</sup> or 5000 m<sup>2</sup> and above instead of 10,000 m<sup>2</sup> under the scheme such that more local people could be benefited to setup their own business as thousands have lost their jobs and livelihood in COVID-19 pandemic.

Member Secretary also brought to the notice of the Committee a letter dtd. 16/6/2021 of Hon'ble MLA Aleixo Reginaldo Lourenco, M.L.A. Curtorim Constituency, addressed to Hon'ble TCP Minister, wherein he has forwarded copy of the appeal dtd. 18/6/2021 as received from Mr. Serafino Cota, President of Small and Medium Hoteliers Association of Goa, vide which it has been requested to accept the proposal as made in view of the immense hardships faced by Small and Medium Hoteliers Association of Goa, caused due to the Corona virus pandemic.

The matter was deliberated at length considering the reasons put forward by Small and Medium Hoteliers Association of Goa and it was decided to modify the guidelines to the extent of requirement of minimum plot area only and to consider minimum plot area of 5000 sq.mts. instead of 10000 sq.mts., for the purpose of allowing any agro based eco-tourism project.

Member Secretary was accordingly directed to undertake the further procedure in this regard.

**Item No. 4: Submission of Budget of North Goa Planning and Development Authority for the financial year 2021-2022.**

Member Secretary informed that the North Goa PDA vide letter dtd. 23/6/2021 has submitted budget of North Goa PDA to the Chief Town Planner (Administration), as required under Section 106 of TCP Act, for the financial year 2021-2022 and the same was placed before the TCP Board for discussion.

Member Secretary of NGPDA Shri Pandita was then asked to give detail presentation on the same. It was then informed by the Member Secretary, NGPDA that the budget for the year 2021-22 was approved by the Authority in its meeting held on 4/2/2021 with a decision to place the same before the TCP Board for necessary approval. It was informed by Shri Pandita that the budget is proposed for 15,84,30,000/- including the grants-in-aid anticipated to be sanctioned by the Government and further informed that the total anticipated expenditure is Rs. 15,99,24,000/-.

Major components for revenue receipts were then explained pertaining to the following:

1. Anticipated Grant-in-aid from the Government towards developmental works at various places in NGPDA jurisdiction.
2. Anticipated Grant-in-aid from the Government towards Town Planning Scheme at Mapusa.
3. Grants in Aid towards salary.
4. General revenue.

Budget figures pertaining to different budget heads such as revenue receipts, expenditure revenue and capital expenditure were also placed before the Board.

After a detail deliberation, the Board considered the budget placed before it and asked the Member Secretary to undertake the further procedure for referring it to the Government.

**Item No. 5: Submission of Budget of Mormugao Planning and Development Authority for the financial year 2021-2022.**

Member Secretary informed that the Mormugao PDA vide letter dtd. 8/6/2021 has submitted budget of Mormugao PDA to the Chief Town Planner (Administration), as required under Section 106 of TCP Act, for the financial year 2021-2022 and the same was placed before the TCP Board for discussion.

Member Secretary of Mormugao PDA Shri Ashok Kumar, who was present for the meeting then informed that budget for the year 2021-22 is proposed for an amount of Rs. 3,37,25,298/- including the grants-in-aid anticipated to be sanctioned by the Government and further informed that the total anticipated expenditure is Rs. 3,37,25,298/-.

Major components for revenue receipts were then explained pertaining to the following:

1. Grants-in-Aid towards salary and other Administrative expenditures
2. General revenue.

Budget figures pertaining to different budget heads such as revenue receipts, and revenue expenditure were also placed before the Board.

After a detail deliberation, the Board considered the budget placed before it and asked the Member Secretary to undertake the further procedure for referring it to the Government.

**Item No. 6: Decision on proposal considered in 33<sup>rd</sup> meeting of the 16-A Committee, constituted under sub rule 4 of Rule 3 of the Goa Town & Country Planning (Public Projects/Schemes/Development work by the Government) Rules - 2008 held on 24/06/2021.**

The Member Secretary submitted that proposals as referred in Annexure 'A' were considered by the Committee constituted under sub rule 4 of Rule 3 of the Goa Town & Country Planning (Public Projects/Schemes/Development work by the Government) Rules - 2008 in its 33<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 24/06/2021. The same proposals were placed before the Town & Country Planning Board for its consideration.

The Board after deliberation recommended the proposals as listed in Annexure-A, which forms the part of these Minutes.

Member Secretary also informed that the proposal of Sports Authority of Goa pertaining to change of zone of the property bearing Sy. No. 43/2, 28/1 to 5, 29/0 & 27/2 at Sawalwada, Pernem Taluka for construction of Indoor Stadium was earlier placed before 29<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Committee held on 15/01/2020 and was recommended for change of zone from Cultivable land with Irrigation Command Area to Institutional zone in the Regional Plan for Goa 2021 with observation that NOC from Water Resources Department shall be obtained.

It was further informed that as required under Sub Rule (6) of Rule 3, the proposal was placed before 168<sup>th</sup> meeting of Town & Country Planning Board held on 27/01/2020 and was decided that prior NOC from Water Resources Department shall first be obtained and accordingly vide letter dtd. 13/5/2020, the Sports Authority of Goa was asked to obtain NOC from Water Resources Department to facilitate further consideration of their request for change of zone of the property.

Member Secretary then informed that vide their letter dtd. 2/11/2020, Water Resources Department has now conveyed the approval of the Government for construction of Indoor Stadium and accordingly vide letter dtd. 30/11/2020 and letter dtd. 7/4/2021, Sports Authority of Goa has requested the Department to consider their request for grant of Technical Clearance Order.



The Board took note that the requirement of obtaining NOC from WRD stands complied now and hence considered the change of zone of the property bearing Sy. No. 43/2, 28/1 to 5, 29/0 & 27/2 at Sawalwada, Pernem Taluka from Cultivable land with Irrigation Command Area to Institutional zone in the Regional Plan for Goa - 2021.

**Item No. 7: Proposals received under Section 16B of the TCP Act for consideration under Section 12 of TCP Act.**

With notification of Section 16B of TCP Act, the Town & Country Planning Dept., has started receiving applications u/s 16B. The proposals as received under Section 16B were scrutinized in terms of site conditions and potentialities of the area under Section 10 of TCP Act and were placed before the Board for consideration as required under the provisions of Section 12 of the TCP Act and the decision taken on the same are as recorded in Annexure 'B', which forms the part of these Minutes.

**Item No. 8: Proposals received under Section 16B of the TCP Act for consideration under Section 13(2) of TCP Act.**

With notification of Section 16B of TCP Act, the Town & Country Planning Dept., has started receiving applications u/s 16B. The Board had earlier considered applications under the provision of Section 12 of the TCP Act. The proposals were then placed before the Board for consideration under the provisions of Section 13(2) of the TCP Act and the decisions taken on the same are as recorded in Annexure 'C', which forms the part of these Minutes.

**Item No. 9: Appeal under Section 45 of the TCP Act, 1974 filed by Shri Gurudas T. Tari against Greater Panaji Planning and Development Authority. (File No. TP/B/APL/198/2021)**

Member Secretary informed that the Appeal is filed against the Order bearing reference No. GPPDA/ill-Const/34/PNJ/124/2021 dated 25/05/2021 of GPPDA, whereby the Respondent has rejected the Application dated 21/04/2021 made by the Appellant for regularization of the construction carried out in the plot of land surveyed under Chalta No. 122 of P.T. Sheet No. 77 of the City of Panaji on the ground that the building plans are not in conformity with the relevant rules and

regulations as described in the Goa Land Development and Building Construction Regulations, 2010 in force.

During the hearing, Adv. Alexander Couto represented the Appellant and whereas Respondent PDA was represented by Adv. Abhishek P. Sawant. At the outset, Advocate for the Respondent requested for adjournment of the matter on the grounds that he has to take necessary instructions in the matter from the Authority. Advocate for the Appellant however requested that the matter be heard by citing the urgency of the case in terms of final notice for demolition issued to him by the Corporation of the City of Panaji, which was agreed upon.

Advocate Alexander Couto then gave a brief history of the matter as regards to existence of the house and subsequent repairs undertaken by him which however was considered as reconstruction by the GPPDA and CCP and notices issued to him accordingly. The issues briefly came to be discussed, were as regards to the ownership of the plot, area of the said plot and corresponding FAR, Coverage permissible for the same.

Considering the issues involved and requirement of details, it was then decided by the Board to hear the case in detail in its next meeting and accordingly the matter was adjourned.

Member Secretary was accordingly directed to inform the next date of hearing to both the parties, as and when the same was fixed.

**Item No. 10: Appeal under Section 45 of the TCP Act, 1974 filed by Mrs. Vijaya Shahapurkar & Mrs. Vidhya Deshmukh against Mormugao Planning and Development Authority. (File No. TP/B/APL/202/2021)**

Member Secretary informed that the matter is regarding appeal under Section 45 of the Town & Country Planning Act, 1974 and against the rejection of application for revised Development Permission.

During the hearing, Adv. Hanumant Naik represented the Appellant and whereas Respondent PDA was represented by Adv. Menino Pereira.

Adv. Hanumant Naik informed the Board that he had obtained Development Permission 30/4/2018 for construction of multi-family dwelling and compound wall in the property zoned as S2 as per ODP-2026 and had subsequently obtained construction licence dtd. 29/6/2018 from Village Panchayat of Chicolna-Bogmalo. It was further stated that they have undertaken the construction as per the approved

plan and the building is completed and accordingly vide their letter dtd. 19/10/2020 they have applied for Completion Certificate, whereas the Respondent vide their letter dtd. 31/12/2020 informed that they have carried deviations which are not in accordance with the regulations 2010 and vide same letter the Respondent also asked the Appellant to obtain NOC of Navy.

The Appellant further brought to the notice of the Board that as asked for, vide their application dtd. 19/10/2020, they have submitted revised plans showing the calculation of area of balcony and has requested for relaxation in the deviation that has taken place. The Appellant cited Regulation 22.5 of the Goa Land Development and Building Construction Regulations, 2010 which provides as under:

*22.5 Compounding of deviations: Any minor deviations which have occurred due to site conditions may be regularized by the authority competent to issue approval/NOC; provided the said deviations does not affect the FAZR/Coverage and height of the building beyond 5% of the permissible limits. Such excess area shall be charged at double the cost of construction or value of such excess FAR prevalent at the time of regularization and shall be compounded by the Competent Authority.*

It was therefore the request of the Appellants to the Authority to regularize minor deviation that has occurred as the same does not affect the FAR/Coverage and height of the building beyond permissible limit.

The Appellants states that revised plan submitted by them shows the calculation only in respect of balconies and that the deviation carried out in terms of balcony was essential only to provide the continuity between the two balconies to facilitate easy accessibility to the rooms for their elderly parents and that this deviation does not in any way affect the internal arrangement of the rooms nor leads to consumption of additional FAR. The Appellant therefore stated that the Respondent has failed to appreciate that the deviation carried out cannot be called as major deviation and it falls well within the power of the Respondent to compound it.

It was therefore the pleading of the Appellant to consider their revised plans only in terms of continuity of balconies.

Advocate for the Respondent then argued that the Authority vide its letter dtd. 1/3/2021 has informed the Appellant that the construction carried out works out to be more than 5% of the permissible limit under rule 22.5 of the GLDBCR-2010 and therefore the Appellant's request for relaxation of compounding deviation under rules 22.5 of GLDBCR-2010 could not be considered.

The Board considered the arguments placed before it and was of the opinion that the minor changes undertaken by the Appellant is only by virtue of necessity for the same to provide ease of movement to the rooms for the elderly parents, through the balconies which actually acted as passage for the purpose and that the changed carried out was not a deliberate one.

Considering the facts placed before it, the Board directed the Respondent to consider the grant approval in respect of the revised plan submitted by the Appellant, with a condition that the balconies so provided in the revised plan shall be used only as a passage to provide easy accessibility to the rooms.

The appeal therefore stands allowed and the Member Secretary was accordingly directed to communicate the decision of the Board to the parties.

**Item No. 11: Appeal under Section 52(2) (b) of the TCP Act, 1974 filed by Santosh V. Khorjuekar and others against Mormugao Planning and Development Authority. (File No. TP/B/APL/199/2021)**

The matter is regarding appeal under Section 52 (2) (b) of the Town & Country Planning Act, 1974 in respect of final notice issued by Mormugao Planning and Development Authority bearing No. MPDA/Illegal/205/2020-21/988 dated 02/02/2020 regarding carrying out illegal development in property bearing Ch. No. 236 of P.T. Sheet No. 171 of Baina, Vasco City.

Member Secretary informed that the matter was earlier listed in the Agenda of 174<sup>th</sup> (Adj.) meeting held on 28/04/2021, however due to inability expressed by the Appellant in attending the said meeting due to Covid-19 pandemic, the matter was adjourned.

The Board was further informed that the Appellant has again expressed his inability in attending the matter in view of Covid-19 pandemic. The Board considered the reason and accordingly adjourned the matter.

Member Secretary was accordingly directed to inform the next date of hearing to both the parties, as and when the same was fixed.

**Item No. 12: Appeal under Section 52 of the TCP Act, 1974 filed by Mrs. Rosa Maria Lopes against Greater Panaji Planning and Development Authority. (File No. TP/B/APL/194/2020)**

Member Secretary informed that the matter is regarding appeal filed under Section 52 of the Town & Country Planning Act, 1974 in respect of final notice issued by GPPDA regarding illegal development carried out in the property bearing Sy.No. 61/7 of Village Taleigao, Tiswadi Taluka, where the property is earmarked as Settlement zone (S3) in the Outline Development Plan of Taleigao.

Member Secretary informed that the matter was earlier placed before 174<sup>th</sup> (Adj.) meeting, however due to inability expressed by the Respondent in attending the said meeting on health grounds, the matter was adjourned.

The Board was further informed that now the Appellant has expressed his inability in attending the matter in view of Covid-19 pandemic. The Board considered the reason and accordingly adjourned the matter.

Member Secretary was accordingly directed to inform the next date of hearing to both the parties, as and when the same was fixed.

**Item No. 13: Appeal under Section 45 of the TCP Act, 1974 filed by Mrs. Simi Anand Ghogale and others against Greater Panaji Planning and Development Authority. (File No. TP/B/APL/198/2021)**

Member Secretary informed that the matter is regarding appeal under Section 45 of the Town & Country Planning Act, 1974 in respect of rejection letter issued by Greater Panaji Planning and Development Authority in the matter of regularization of existing house (G+1) in the property bearing Chalta No. 200 & 201 of P.T. Sheet No. 69 at Fontainhas, Panaji-Goa.

Member Secretary informed that the matter was earlier placed before 174<sup>th</sup> (Adj.) meeting, however due to inability expressed by the Respondent in attending the said meeting on health grounds, the matter was adjourned.

The Board was further informed that now the Appellant has expressed his inability in attending the matter in view of Covid-19 pandemic. The Board considered the reason and accordingly adjourned the matter.

Member Secretary was accordingly directed to inform the next date of hearing to both the parties, as and when the same was fixed.

**Item No. 14: Appeal under Section 52(2)(B) of the TCP Act, 1974 filed by Shri Lyndon D'Silva and Maria Colaco D'Silva against South Goa Planning & Development Authority (File No. TP/B/APL/187/19).**

Member Secretary informed that the matter is pertaining to final notice dated 06/12/2019 bearing No. SGPDA/P/Illegal/1289/19-20, vide which the Respondent PDA has directed the Appellant to demolish toilet on open terrace and covering of the roof by zinc sheets consuming additional FAR.

It was informed by the Member Secretary that the matter was earlier placed before 174<sup>th</sup> (Adj.) meeting of the Board but was not taken up for discussion due to inability expressed by the Appellant in attending the said meeting due to Covid-19 pandemic and hence was adjourned.

Member Secretary informed that the Appellant vide his letter dtd. 29/06/2021 has again requested that the matter be postponed due to current situation of the pandemic and the extension of lockdown.

The Board consider the request as made by the Appellant and accordingly adjourned the matter.

Member Secretary was accordingly directed to inform the next date of hearing to both the parties, as and when the same was fixed.

**Item No. 15: Appeal under Section 37(b)(5) of the Goa Industrial Development Corporation Act, 1965 and Section 45 of TCP Act, 1974 filed by Mahalsa Foods through its Proprietor Shri Pradeep Shet against Goa Industrial Development Corporation (GIDC).**

Member Secretary informed that the matter is regarding issue of NOC for proposed revision in extension on lower ground floor to the existing building for Mahalsa Foods in Plot No. 1 of survey No. 157/1 (Part) at Verna Industrial Estate for Mahalsa Foods.

Member Secretary informed that the matter was earlier listed in the Agenda of 174<sup>th</sup> (Adj.) meeting held on 28/04/2021, however due to inability expressed by the Appellant in attending the said meeting due to Covid-19 pandemic, the matter was adjourned.

The Board was further informed that the Appellant has again expressed his inability in attending the matter in view of Covid-19 pandemic. The Board considered the reason and accordingly adjourned the matter.

Member Secretary was accordingly directed to inform the next date of hearing to both the parties, as and when the same was fixed.

**Item No. 16:- Any other item with the permission of chair.**