

TABLE 'A'

920

Sr. No.	Name and Address	Sy. No.	Village/ Taluka	Zone as per RP/ODP	Plot Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	FAR			Height			Total FAR proposed as per plan submitted (E)	Total built up area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Accessibility to the plot by road having R/W	Proposed details and requirements with use	Additional FAR recommended by the Committee (B-E)	Additional Height recommended by the Committee	Remarks	Decision of the Board
						Permissible FAR (A)	Proposed FAR (B)	Additional FAR sought (A-B)	Permissible Height (C) in mtrs.	Proposed Height (D) in mtrs.	Additional Height sought (C-D) in mtrs.								
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)
1	Mr. Santosh Shikerkar	121/1 & 122/4	Ponda	Commercial C1 & C2	9,857	C1=200, C2 = 150	300	100	24	40	16	Plan not submitted	36,000	Existing 11 mts. & 6 mts.	Residential cum Commercial	C1-100 C2-150	16	Committee recommended the proposal for purpose of placing the same before the TCP Board for further consideration.	TCP Board peruse through application and recommended for grant of additional 100 for C1 and 150 for C2 FAR and additional 16 mts. height as requested.
2	Mr. Mohammad Bakkar Ali & Mrs. Tabassum Ali	Ch. No. 42, P.T. Sheet No. 131	Gogal, Margao	Settlement S1	1,300	100	200	100	15	24	9.00	2.00	2595.79	Existing 10 mts. & 6 mts	Residential cum Commercial	100	9.00	Committee recommended the proposal for purpose of placing the same before the TCP Board for further consideration.	TCP Board peruse through application and recommended for grant of additional 100 FAR and additional 9.00 mts height as requested.
3	Mr. Roshan Redkar	178/52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57	Arpora Bardez	Settlement S3	1,510	60	Nil	Nil	9	13.50	4.50	48.68	Not clear	Existing 10 mts. & 6 mts.	Residential	Nil	4.5	Committee recommended the proposal for purpose of placing the same before the TCP Board for further consideration.	TCP Board peruse through application and recommended for grant of additional Nil FAR and additional 4.50 mts. height as requested.
4	Mr. Ravlu Shetye	365/9	Socorro, Bardez	Settlement VP-2	1,000	60 (80 past commitments)	197.96	118.26	9 (Past commitments 11.5)	23	11.5	197.96	1814	15 mts, 8 mts. & 6 mts.	Hotel building	20	3.5	Committee recommended additional FAR of 20 and additional height of 3.5 mts. For the purpose of placing the same before the TCP Board. Further, the request of applicant was for additional FAR of 118.26 and additional height of 11.5 mts. for which TCP Board may deliberate and decide.	TCP Board peruse through application and recommended for grant of additional 118.26 FAR and additional 11.5 mts height as requested.
5	Mr. Ravlu Shetye	358/2 (Part-A)	Socorro, Bardez	Settlement VP-2	9,995	60 (80 past commitments)	118.31	38.35	9 (Past commitments 11.5)	21.00	9.50	118.31	20550	8 mts.	Residential	20	3.5	Committee recommended additional FAR of 20 and additional height of 3.5 mts. For the purpose of placing the same before the TCP Board. Further, the request of applicant was for additional FAR of 38.35 and additional height of 9.5 mts. For which TCP Board may deliberate and decide.	TCP Board peruse through application and recommended for grant of additional 38.35 FAR and additional 9.5 mts height as requested.

Sr. No.	Name and Address	Sy. No.	Village/ Taluka	Zone as per RP/ODP	Plot Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	FAR			Height			Total FAR proposed as per plan submitted (E)	Total built up area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Accessibility to the plot by road having R/W	Proposed details and requirements with use		Additional FAR recommended by the Committee (B-E)	Additional Height recommended by the Committee	Remarks	Decision of the Board		
						Permissible FAR (A)	Proposed FAR (B)	Additional FAR sought (A-B)	Permissible Height (C) in mtrs.	Proposed Height (D) in mtrs.	Additional Height sought (C-D) in mtrs.				(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)			
6	Mr. Ravlu Shetye	341/I & 358/2 (Part-B)	Socorro, Bardez	Settlement VP-2	9,585	60 (80 past commitments)	149.92	70.18	9 (Past commitments 11.5)	21.00	9.50	149.92	24301.05	8 mts.	Residential	20	3.5	Committee recommended additional FAR of 20 and additional height of 3.5mts. For the purpose of placing the same before the TCP Board. Further, the request of applicant was for additional FAR of 70.18 and additional height of 9.5mt. for which TCP Board may deliberate and decide.	TCP Board peruse through applicationand recommended for grant of additional 70.18 FAR and additional 9.50mts. height as requested.			
7	Ms. Milan Dhavalikars & Others	197/2	Ponda	ODP, Partly Settlement, Partly Orchard	25,200	Partly S1-100, Partly Orchard	250	150	15	40	25	Plan not submitted	63,000	30 mts. wide proposed road	Commercial cum Residential	150 for S1 zone only	25	Committee recommended the proposal for purpose of placing the same before the TCP Board fr further consideration.	TCP Board peruse through applicationand recommended for grant of additional FAR of 150 for S1 zone and additional 25mts. height as requested.			
8	M/s. Palacio Property Developers Pvt. Ltd.	180/I(P) & 2(P), 181/I(P),2,3,5(P), 182/7 & 183/9	Goa Velha Tiswadi	Settlement VP-1	30,640	60 (80 past commitment)	100	20	9 (11.5 past commitment)	Nil	Nil	95.43%	10782	Accessible by NH-17	Residential	20	Nil	Committee recommended the proposal for purpose of placing the same before the TCP Board for further consideration.	TCP Board peruse through applicationand recommended for grant of additional 20 FAR.			
9	Mr. Lokesh Damodar Kochrekar	Chalta No. 33-A of PTS No. 111	Mapusa Bardez	Settlement S1	696	100	150	50	15	20	5	149.42	2284	Existing 10.00 mts.	Commercial cum Residential	50	5	Committee recommended the proposal for purpose of placing the same before the TCP Board for further consideration.	TCP Board peruse through applicationand recommended for grant of additional 50 FAR and additional 5mts. height as requested.			
10	M/s. Cosme Matias Menezes Pvt. Ltd.	Sy. No. 128/1	Dramapur Salcete	Settlement VP-2	3,192	60	100	40	9	12	3	98.98	3789.57	Existing NH-66	Commercial	40	3	Committee recommended the proposal for purpose of placing the same before the TCP Board for further consideration and cleared for 40 FAR as property being located abutting National Highway	TCP Board peruse through applicationand recommended for grant of additional 40 FAR and additional 3mts. height as requested.			
11	Mrs. Vanita S. Mayekar & Mr. Shivshankar A. Mayekar	159/I-A	Assagao Bardez	Settlement VP-2	14,836	60	80	20	9	Nil	Nil	80	Not specified	Existing 8 mts.	Construction of group housing and Commercial building	20	Nil	Committee recommended the proposal for purpose of placing the same before the TCP Board for further consideration.	TCP Board peruse through applicationand recommended for grant of additional 20 FAR.			

98

4/6

Sr. No.	Name and Address	Sy. No.	Village/ Taluka	Zone as per RP/ODP	Plot Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	FAR				Total FAR proposed as per plan submitted (E)	Total built up area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Accessibility to the plot by road having R/W	Proposed details and requirements with use	Additional FAR recommended by the Committee (B-E)	Additional Height recommended by the Committee	Remarks	Decision of the Board		
						Permissible FAR (A)	Proposed FAR (B)	Additional FAR sought (A-B)	Permissible Height (C) in mtrs.	Proposed Height (D) in mtrs.	Additional Height sought (C-D) in mtrs.								
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)
12	Mr. Mario Fernandes	Chalta No. 51-A	Mapusa Bardez	Settlement S1	1,224	100	200	100	15	24	9	198.77	3689	Proposed 20 mts., 10 mts. and 6.00 mts	Commercial cum Residential	100	9	Committee recommended the proposal for purpose of placing the same before the TCP Board for further consideration.	TCP Board peruse through applicationand recommended for grant of additional 100 FAR and additional 9mts. height as requested.
13	Mr. Jose Cupertino Souza	Sy. No. 139/7-B	Verna Salcete	Settlement VP-2	1,727	60	80	20	9	12	3	79.97	2891.01	10.00 mts. wide road	Residential	20	3	Committee recommended the proposal for purpose of placing the same before the TCP Board for further consideration.	TCP Board peruse through applicationand recommended for grant of additional 20 FAR and additional 3mts. height as requested.
14	Mr. Makbul Ahmed	Sy. No. 131/1-E Plot No. 73	Taleigao Tiswadi	Settlement S2	720	80	100	20	11.5	14.5	3	100	1417	10 mts. Wide road	Residential	20	3	Committee recommended the proposal for purpose of placing the same before the TCP Board for further consideration.	TCP Board peruse through applicationand recommended for grant of additional 20 FAR and additional 3mts. height as requested.
15	Shree Devi Sharvani Buildeon Pvt. Ltd.	Sy. No. 48/I-D-2	Sarvona Bicholim	Settlement VP-1	2,048	80	88.36	8.36	11.5	Nil	Nil	88.36	1809.72	30 mts. road	Commercial cum Residential	8.36	Nil	Committee recommended the proposal for purpose of placing the same before the TCP Board for further consideration.	TCP Board peruse through applicationand recommended for grant of additional 8.36 FAR.
16	Mr. Saptal Charge	Sy. No. 28/13	Candolim Bardez	Settlement VP-1	2,000	80	100	20	11.5	20.35	8.85	100	1975	8.00 mts. existing road	Residential	20	3.5	Committee recommended additional FAR of 20 and additional height of 3.5mts. for the purpose of placing the same before the TCP Board. Further, the request of applicant was for additional FAR of 20 and additional height of 8.85mt. for which TCP Board may deliberate and decide.	TCP Board peruse through applicationand recommended for grant of additional 20 FAR and additional 8.85mts. height as requested.
17	M/s. Goldendunes Infratech Pvt. Ltd.	Ch. No. 29/42 of P.T. Sheet No. 30 & Ch. No 30/3 of P.T. Sheet No. 30	Mapusa Bardez	Settlement S1	6,900	100	150	50	15	21.00	6.00	149.24	16648.47	10.00 mts. wide road	Residential	50	6	Committee recommended the proposal for purpose of placing the same before the TCP Board for further consideration.	TCP Board peruse through applicationand recommend for grant of additional 50 F. and additional 6mts. height requested.

Name and Address	Sy. No.	Village/ Taluka	Zone as per RP/ODP	Plot Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	FAR			Height			Total FAR proposed as per plan submitted (E)	Total built up area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Accessibility to the plot by road having R/W	Proposed details and requirements with use	Additional FAR recommended by the Committee (B-E)	Additional Height recommended by the Committee (B-E)	Remarks	Decision of the Board
					Permissible FAR (A)	Proposed FAR (B)	Additional FAR sought (A-B)	Permissible Height (C) in mtrs.	Proposed Height (D) in mtrs.	Additional Height sought (C-D) in mtrs.								
(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)
18 Shri. Bhumika Shikshan Saunstha	Sy. No. 1/0	Poriem/ Sattari	Settlement VP-2	38,300	60	125	65	9	Nil	Nil	125	Plan not submitted	6.00 mts. & 8.00 mts. road	Plan not submitted	20	Nil	Committee recommended additional FAR of 20 for the purpose of placing the same before the TCP Board. Further, the request of applicant was for additional FAR of 65 for which TCP Board may deliberate and decide.	TCP Board peruse through application and recommended for grant of additional 65 FAR.
19 Mr. Wilfred Nazareth Menino D'Sa	Sy. No. 124/7	Nuvem Salcete	Settlement VP-2	813	60	80	20	9	11.50	2.5	74.3	761.5	8.00 mts. existing road	Residential	20	Nil	Committee recommended the proposal for purpose of placing the same before the TCP Board for further consideration.	TCP Board peruse through application and recommended for grant of additional 20 FAR and additional height of 2.5mts.
20 Mr. Miguel Antonio Rodrigues and Mrs. Melvina Marcelina D'Laura Fernandes	Sy. No. 57/4	Nagoa Salcete	Settlement VP-2	1,975	60	80	20	9	11.50	2.50	78.2	2294.28	6.00 mts. existing road	Residential	20	2.5	Committee recommended the proposal for purpose of placing the same before the TCP Board for further consideration.	TCP Board peruse through application and recommended for grant of additional 20 FAR and additional 2.5mts. height as requested.
21 Mr. Shivam Amonkar	Sy. No. 2/1, Plot No. 3	Orgao Ponda	Settlement VP-1	251	80	100	20	11.5	Not specified	Not specified	94.7	Not specified	10.00 mts. and 8.00 mts. existing road	Residential	20	Nil	Committee recommended the proposal for purpose of placing the same before the TCP Board for further consideration.	TCP Board peruse through application and recommended for grant of additional 20 FAR.